

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

AT&T INC., AT&T SERVICES, INC.,  
AT&T MOBILITY, LLC, and AT&T  
CORP.,

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-397-JRG-RSP  
(LEAD CASE)

**MEMORANDUM ORDER**

Before the Court is the Daubert Motion and Motion to Strike Opinions of Erik de la Iglesia, filed by the AT&T Defendants. **Dkt. No. 132.**

A substantively identical motion was filed in a parallel litigation: *Headwater Research LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc., et al*, 2:23-cv-00352-JRG-RSP. See Dkt. No. 184 in 2:23-cv-00352.

For the reasons discussed in the Court's ruling on the parallel motion (Dkt. No. 350 in 2:23-cv-00352), the instant Motion is also **GRANTED** as to the sections of Mr. de la Iglesia's report that rely on Dr. Wesel's carrier-specific appendices regarding each carrier's alleged knowledge of ItsOn, Headwater, and the asserted patents, and otherwise **DENIED**.

**SIGNED this 29th day of July, 2025.**

  
ROY S. PAYNE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE